UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,123	04/08/2004	Blake W. Little	65744/P018US/10404217	8209
	7590 03/23/200 & JAWORSKI L.L.P	EXAMINER		
2200 ROSS AV SUITE 2800	ENUE	TRAN, PHUC H		
DALLAS, TX 7	75201-2784	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/821,123	LITTLE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PHUC H. TRAN	2416		

	PHUC H. TRAN	2416	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the control of the).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended of Translation (a). The date of the sum of	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 January 2009</u>. A brid the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), of appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allownon-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10.	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but In response to Applicant's argument in 3/10/2009, Exami Examiner respectfully disagrees. According to the specif N:M/2 as claimed, therefore the argument is not persuas ASIC of claimed invention, therefore Snyder discloses all	ner respectfully disagrees. First, t fication (paragraph 21 and 30) disc ive. Second, the FPGA in Snyder	he argument about "N closes N:M and N/2:M	to M/2", not discloses
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (
13. Other:			
	/PHUC H TRAN/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2416	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.Part of Paper No. 20090319